KSU Faculty Senate Meeting X—present, O—absent, S—substitute Attendance (November 7, 2011)

College/Constituency	Faculty Member	Attendance
Accounting	Bill Cleary	Х
Biology & Physics	Robert Paul	Х
Chemistry & Biochemistry	Chris Dockery	Х
Communication	Chuck Aust	Х
Computer Science	Dick Gayler	0
Economics, Finance & Quantitative Analysis	Xiao Hung	Х
Education Leadership	Earl Holliday	Х
Elementary & Early Childhood Education	Ethel King-McKenzie	Х
English	Cindy Bowers	Х
First-Year Programs	Stephen Braden	Х
Foreign Language	Susanne Kelley	Х
Geography & Anthropology	Vanessa Slinger-Friedman	Х
Health, Physical Education & Sport Science	Cherilyn Hultquist	Х
History & Philosophy	Tom Keene	Х
Human Services	Irene McClatchey	Х
Information Systems	Humayun Zafar	Х
Inclusive Education	Joya Carter-Hicks	Х
Interdisciplinary Studies	LeeAnn Lands	Х
Management & Entrepreneurship	Doug Moodie	Х
Marketing & Professional Sales	Randy Stuart	S
Mathematics & Statistics	Teresa Banker	Х
Music	John Warren	Х
Nursing	Jackie Jones	Х
Political Science & International Affairs	Jack Moran	Х
Psychology	Chris Ziegler	Х
Secondary & Middle Grades Education	Anete Vasquez	Х
Sociology & Criminal Justice	Kenneth White	Х
Theatre, Performance Studies & Dance	Jamie Bullins	Х
University Studies	Deborah N. Smith	Х
Visual Arts	April Munson	Х
Administrators Senate	Veronica Trammell	Х
Staff Senate	Holly Miller	0
Student Government	DeChin Dhe for Ronald Wilson	S

VISITORS		
Faculty Executive Assistant to President	Dr. Jorge Pērez	Х
Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs	Dr. Valerie Whittlesey	Х
Guest of Dr. Whittlesey	Lynn Lamanac	Х
Provost & VPAA	Dr. Ken Harmon	Х
Chair, COI-IP Drafting Committee	Kurt Schutze	Х
Faculty Assistant to Provost	Raj Veliyate	Х
SPSU (E. L. T.)	David Stone	Х

D. Moodie called the meeting to order 3:30 pm.

V. Slinger-Friedman moved for acceptance with a 2nd.

Liaison to Chairs Committee: S. Braden with no other volunteers T. Keene moved to close nominations and accept S. Braden by acclamation. Motion 2nd/d.

<u>+/- grading</u>: Motion to hold open forums with no vote. Discussion: purpose of forums to gather info from faculty and students; SGA approached and gathering info but those students have no problem with the change; typically low attendance at forums; T. Keene against motion because faculty ready to move on issue; Senate seen as not acting. D. Moodie called for vote and motion failed 4 to 17.

A+ discussion: practical reason deal with GPA of 4.3 where A is 4.0; registrar already weighed in on A+; gen ed courses students flock to A+ professors; cap at 4.0 because 89.4% universities use 4.0 and convert anyway; professors not compelled to use system; T. Keene moved to call question with 2^{nd} . D. Moodie moved with 2^{nd} to vote to delete A+: 20 to 2: delete A+.

D+,D- motion and 2^{nd} to discuss: D unsatisfactory in major courses; if want to empower faculty makes sense to have D+/- because it gives them more options when using the scale; value of student feelings; D. Moodie moved with 2^{nd} to vote: 7 to 16, motion failed.

Original proposal paragraph (briefly) added to protect faculty who choose not to use system:

Should the BOR implement change, then Faculty Senate considers use of system completely at discretion of course instructor and no policy on such matters should be made by deans, chairs, directors, or department committees.

J. Jones motion to delete paragraph with no 2nd. Paragraph remains part of the proposal.

Amendment to delay implementation: R. Stuart moved (with 2nd) that we delay implementation 2-3 yrs to allow current students to graduate under current system. Discussion: length of BOR approval unknown; suggestions for grandfathering students and only new students on new system; level of detail for registrar too deep; Dr. Papp anxious to go forward; D. Moodie moved with 2nd to vote: 7 to 16—failed.

No other amendments; summary—A+ is deleted, only amendment passed.

Point of clarification: how deal with multi-section courses? S. Braden moved (with 2nd) that chairs can set a policy for which system used with these sections. Discussion: question about academic freedom; not a violation just administrative question; doesn't that contradict the paragraph just voted?; foundation course instructors forced to grade as department committee/chair; suggestion that department-level governance be used; BANNER issue for coding multi-section courses; consideration for instructor/DFC body and accreditation issues; KSU do what right for us by empowering faculty through the circumstances of +/- grading; D. Moodie moved for vote on exception: 12 to 14 failed.

Final vote for +/-: 22 to 3, proposal passed.

<u>**2nd Reading Consulting Document</u></u>: T. Keene moved for approval with 2nd. Discussion: cap of \$5000 clarification shows there is NO cap; \$5000 threshold to make disclosure to chair and/or others—effect on FPA; flowchart to explain funded research and responsibilities in terms of COI issues; D. Moodie moved to vote: document passes.</u>**

<u>1st Reading Clinical Faculty</u>: two groups of faculty (nontenure-track, tenure track); policy needed for non-tenure track for practicing faculty, e.g. social worker, at Assistant, Associate, and Full professor levels; new hires approval department, dean, Provost; expectations similar to what is done currently with lecturer position and is very broad; specific expectations developed within department because of differing needs.

Provost with 2 major issues information:

Flex Friday Scheduling—1)use of space (Friday) 2) MWF WF MF, etc, responses inconsistent 3) tabled ½-semester courses 4)room allocation—hybrid, WF; SGA 68% students here 3+ days/wk

Program Approval—1) 27 new in front of them 2) all out in DEC. don't send more 3) approve one program/school and start again 4) no nich programs, must prioritize

T. Keene moved to adjourn with 2nd.